

A000DA7

RONALD F. SHALLAT, M.D. FEBRUARY 17, 2006

1	wife, who was present that morning, and that very	12:44:45	1	Mr. Allen actually had an aneurysm, what do you	12:46:51
2	same day, has a -- describes her husband as having	12:44:49	2	mean?	12:46:55
3	a severe headache and had pain going up the back	12:44:52	3	A. Just what I said. The only --	12:46:55
4	of his head to the top of his head?	12:44:55	4	there is no proof. There is speculation based on	12:47:03
5	A. No, I can't. I mean, I can't put	12:44:56	5	statistics, and I would agree with the statistics.	12:47:06
6	myself in her shoes. I don't know. But why would	12:44:58	6	I already said that I think it is more likely than	12:47:09
7	two medical personnel who are trained to take	12:45:03	7	not that he did have an aneurysm.	12:47:12
8	histories accurately and -- why would they	12:45:06	8	Q. And there is no proof because we	12:47:14
9	indicate it differently than what the wife's	12:45:10	9	don't -- there was no CT taken and he was not	12:47:18
10	recollection is later on? They document it. They	12:45:14	10	worked up for having a subarachnoid bleed that	12:47:20
11	wrote it down presumably the same day. And they	12:45:17	11	morning, so we don't have the data that; is	12:47:23
12	are trained to take histories.	12:45:21	12	correct?	12:47:25
13	There is no reason for them to fudge it	12:45:22	13	A. That's correct.	12:47:25
14	and say, Oh, no, I am going to make it sound like	12:45:23	14	Q. And that it's "pure speculation	12:47:25
15	it's ear and jaw and then head versus just	12:45:26	15	that his outcome could have been altered if the	12:47:30
16	headache. I mean, I don't -- there would be no	12:45:29	16	correct diagnosis had been made in a timely manner	12:47:32
17	reason for them to skew it in that direction.	12:45:32	17	and appropriate treatment instituted?"	12:47:35
18	Q. But given -- well, Donna -- you	12:45:37	18	If you could explain that. Why is that	12:47:38
19	know the training of Donna Fearey. She is not a	12:45:41	19	pure speculation?	12:47:40
20	medical doctor. You understand that; is that	12:45:44	20	A. Well, I think I explained it more	12:47:41
21	correct?	12:45:44	21	with this -- the subsequent, you know, things.	12:47:47
22	A. I understand.	12:45:44	22	Q. Sure. Please do.	
23	Q. Given what we know about what	12:45:45	23	A. And I think it has to do with the	12:47:52
24	happened with this gentleman, that he subsequently	12:45:51	24	time line of his whole clinical course. I think	12:47:56
25	died of a subarachnoid bleed, do you think that --	12:45:53	25	that is my point, that I think it would have been	12:47:59
Page 138			Page 140		
1	do you have any opinion as to whether or not it's	12:45:56	1	very difficult to have a good outcome in his case,	12:48:05
2	more likely than not that he had severe head pain	12:45:59	2	even if the correct diagnosis were made that	12:48:11
3	going up the back of his head to the top of his	12:46:02	3	morning based on what I say here.	12:48:14
4	head that morning?	12:46:04	4	Q. I mean, it's true, isn't it, that	12:48:18
5	A. I have no way of knowing that. I	12:46:05	5	this gentleman was discharged after given a shot	12:48:20
6	just have to go by what is written down there.	12:46:08	6	of Phenergan; is that right?	12:48:23
7	Q. I am going to go to the next	12:46:10	7	A. That's right.	12:48:24
8	paragraph of your report.	12:46:20	8	Q. He was sent to do whatever he was	12:48:24
9	MR. GUARINO: Is that Exhibit 2 now,	12:46:26	9	going to do; is that right?	12:48:26
10	just for --	12:46:27	10	A. Mm-hmm.	12:48:27
11	MS. MCCREADY: Yes, it is. Thank you.	12:46:27	11	Q. He went to -- and according -- you	12:48:27
12	Q. It says, "Since there was no proof	12:46:28	12	know, you have read the wife's deposition; is that	12:48:30
13	that Mr." --	12:46:29	13	right?	12:48:31
14	MR. GUARINO: Excuse me. I am just	12:46:29	14	A. Yes.	12:48:31
15	trying to find my place. Hold on a second.	12:46:30	15	Q. And they went Sam's Club and they	12:48:31
16	MS. MCCREADY: Q. "Since there was no	12:46:34	16	walked around; is that true?	12:48:41
17	proof" -- and I am reading from your report --	12:46:38	17	A. They first went to breakfast. He	12:48:41
18	"that Mr. Allen actually had any aneurysm, it is	12:46:40	18	ate a large breakfast, she said.	12:48:41
19	pure speculation his outcome could have been	12:46:42	19	Q. Is that something that is of	12:48:41
20	altered if the correct diagnosis was made in a	12:46:43	20	consequence to you or is that --	12:48:41
21	timely manner and appropriate treatment	12:46:45	21	A. Again, in my mind, that is not the	12:48:41
22	instituted."	12:46:48	22	picture of someone who has had a major bleed at	12:48:44
23	I have a couple of questions about that	12:46:48	23	that point in time. You know, he is too well to	12:48:48
24	sentence.		24	have had a major bleed. He probably, more likely	12:48:52
25	When you say there is no proof that	12:46:50	25	than not, as you have got me to say, that he had a	12:48:55
Page 139			Page 141		

A000DA7

RONALD F. SHALLAT, M.D. FEBRUARY 17, 2006

1	minor bleed. But he is walking around, he is	12:48:57	1	Valsalva maneuver, hold your breath and strain	12:51:02
2	going to breakfast, he is keeping it down.	12:49:01	2	lifting a very heavy object, that could certainly	12:51:04
3	The Phenergan might have helped him keep	12:49:04	3	adversely affect your blood pressure, intracranial	12:51:07
4	the food down, but it wouldn't alter any other of	12:49:06	4	pressure, causing an aneurysm to bleed again.	12:51:13
5	his symptoms from a subarachnoid hemorrhage.	12:49:10	5	Q. And certainly, if you had admitted	12:51:16
6	Q. If you admitted a patient to the	12:49:13	6	a patient to the hospital who had a subarachnoid	12:51:17
7	hospital after diagnosing them with a subarachnoid	12:49:19	7	bleed, do you really want him to be lifting	12:51:19
8	bleed, would you recommend that they have a really	12:49:22	8	anything?	12:51:21
9	big breakfast?	12:49:25	9	A. No weight-lifting. Write that in	12:51:22
10	A. I already told you, I keep them	12:49:26	10	the orders.	12:51:24
11	NPO.	12:49:28	11	Q. How about a patient or a person	12:51:25
12	Q. Right, you don't give them	12:49:28	12	laying down on a bed? I mean, when you admit	12:51:26
13	anything.	12:49:29	13	somebody to the hospital, is there anything you do	12:51:29
14	A. So I don't give them oral pain	12:49:29	14	to either elevate the patient's head? Again, a	12:51:32
15	medicines, right, so certainly not breakfast.	12:49:31	15	person who has been diagnosed with a subarachnoid	12:51:35
16	Q. I'm sorry, let me -- I am not sure	12:49:33	16	hemorrhage.	12:51:37
17	I followed up on that.	12:49:37	17	A. No. It's more a level of comfort.	12:51:37
18	Is that because of further testing you	12:49:38	18	If you think the patient has increased	12:51:41
19	are going to give them or is that -- why is that?	12:49:40	19	intracranial pressure, which not all subarachnoid	12:51:43
20	A. A combination of things. It's	12:49:42	20	patients do, then you elevate the head 30 degrees,	12:51:46
21	because, yes, there will be further tests for	12:49:44	21	but only if they have increased intracranial	12:51:49
22	which he might receive sedation or anesthesia, and	12:49:48	22	pressure.	12:51:52
23	you would want an empty stomach for that. It's	12:49:51	23	Q. How would you monitor that, the	12:51:52
24	also because you don't want him retching or	12:49:54	24	increased intracranial pressure?	12:51:54
25	vomiting.	12:49:58	25	A. Well, if the patient is obtunded or	12:51:54
Page 142			Page 144		
1	Q. And I don't know whether or not	12:50:00	1	comatose, then you actually have to put a monitor	12:51:58
2	eating a large breakfast would have anything to do	12:50:02	2	in their head to monitor pressure. If they are	12:52:02
3	with your blood pressure or other -- or	12:50:05	3	awake and alert, then they probably don't have	12:52:04
4	electrolytes or anything like that.	12:50:10	4	increased intracranial pressure, if they are	12:52:07
5	A. No, it shouldn't have anything.	12:50:11	5	talking to you and they are perfectly appropriate	12:52:09
6	Q. So any other reasons why you would	12:50:13	6	and --	12:52:11
7	want to make sure that a patient didn't either	12:50:15	7	Q. Is that something that goes back to	12:52:12
8	have a big breakfast or take anything by mouth?	12:50:18	8	this, you know, monitoring of somebody for a level	12:52:14
9	A. No. Those two reasons would be the	12:50:20	9	of consciousness, that if their level of	12:52:16
10	main ones.	12:50:22	10	consciousness is changing, that could be a sign of	12:52:18
11	Q. So this patient was discharged, he	12:50:23	11	increased intracranial pressure?	12:52:20
12	had a big breakfast, and he walked around Sam's	12:50:32	12	A. That's correct.	12:52:22
13	Club. And then did you review the part of the	12:50:36	13	Q. So if somebody starts becoming more	12:52:23
14	wife's deposition where they went back to the	12:50:38	14	lethargic, that could indicate that they are	12:52:24
15	hotel and they unloaded the truck, they unloaded	12:50:40	15	having increased intracranial pressure?	12:52:27
16	their truck?	12:50:42	16	A. That's right.	12:52:29
17	A. Yes.	12:50:43	17	Q. Would that be something -- so it	12:52:29
18	Q. Because they were in the middle of	12:50:43	18	sounds like you could elevate a patient's bed 30	12:52:30
19	moving to Valdez. Is that your understanding?	12:50:44	19	degrees. Are there other things you could do to	12:52:33
20	A. Yes.	12:50:46	20	affect the increased intracranial pressure?	12:52:36
21	Q. Again, what would either walking	12:50:47	21	A. Yes. You -- if they are	12:52:37
22	around or lifting things, how would that affect a	12:50:49	22	unresponsive and you have to breathe for them, you	12:52:41
23	person's blood pressure, if at all?	12:50:52	23	put a tube in their windpipe and breathe for them.	12:52:44
24	A. Well, if it were very heavy objects	12:50:53	24	You can lower the partial pressure of carbon	12:52:47
25	and you had to strain and do what we call a	12:50:58	25	dioxide, and that lowers intracranial pressure.	12:52:53
Page 143			Page 145		